UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/993,663 | 11/27/2001 | Toshiaki Sasaki | 00862.022452. | 8052 |
| 5514 7590 07/22/2008 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NAJARIAN, LENA | |
| NEW TORK, NT 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3626 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/22/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 09/993,663 | SASAKI ET AL. | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | LENA NAJARIAN | 3626 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | |
| (1) <u>LENA NAJARIAN</u> . | (3) | | | | |
| (2) Michael J. Guzniczak (Reg. No. 59,820). | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>09 July 2008</u> . | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | ²)∏ applicant's representative | p] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 100, and 101</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bayne (US 2005/0060198 A1)</u> . | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N | I/A. | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the Bayne reference</u> . <u>The Examiner will reconsider the applied art in light of the amendments and remarks made in the RCE</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims | | | | | |
| allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | 1.) | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| /Lena Najarian/ | | | | | |
| - | Examiner, Art Unit 3626 Examiner's signature, if require | red | | | |